# On k-ranks of Topological Spaces

Mengjie Jin<sup>2</sup> Qingguo Li<sup>1,3</sup>

School of Mathematics Hunan University Changsha, Hunan, 410082, China

#### Abstract

In this paper, the concepts of K-subset systems and k-well-filtered spaces are introduced, which provide another uniform approach to d-spaces, s-well-filtered spaces (i.e.,  $\mathcal{U}_S$ -admissibility) and well-filtered spaces. We prove that the k-well-filtered reflection of any  $T_0$  space exists. Meanwhile, we propose the definition of k-rank, which is an ordinal that measures how many steps from a  $T_0$  space to a k-well-filtered space. Moreover, we derive that for any ordinal  $\alpha$ , there exists a  $T_0$  space whose k-rank equals to  $\alpha$ . One immediate corollary is that for any ordinal  $\alpha$ , there exists a  $T_0$  space whose d-rank (respectively, wf-rank) equals to  $\alpha$ .

Keywords: k-well-filtered space, k-Rudin set, k-well-filtered reflection, k-rank

# 1 Introduction

In non-Hausdorff topological spaces and domain theory, d-spaces and well-filtered spaces are two important classes of spaces. Let  $\mathbf{Top}_0$  be the category of all  $T_0$  spaces,  $\mathbf{Top}_d$  the category of all d-spaces and  $\mathbf{Top}_w$  the category of all well-filtered spaces. It is well-known that  $\mathbf{Top}_d$  and  $\mathbf{Top}_w$  are reflective in  $\mathbf{Top}_0$ , respectively. Different ways for constructing d-completions and well-filtered reflections of  $T_0$  spaces were found in [3,10,12,17]. In [3], Ershov introduced one way to get d-completions of  $T_0$  spaces using the equivalent classes of directed subsets, he called it d-rank which is an ordinal that measures how many steps from a  $T_0$  space to a d-space. Inspired by his method, in [10], Liu, Li and Wu proposed one way to get well-filtered reflections of  $T_0$  spaces using the equivalent classes of Rudin subsets, they called it wf-rank, which is an ordinal that measures how far a  $T_0$  space is from being a well-filtered space.

In [16], based on irreducible subset systems, Xu provided a uniform approach to *d*-spaces, sober spaces and well-filtered spaces, and developed a general framework for dealing with all these spaces. In this paper, we will provide another uniform approach to *d*-spaces and well-filtered spaces and develop a general framework for dealing with all these spaces. Similar to the concept of irreducible subset systems in [16], we propose the concepts of *K*-subset systems and *k*-well-filtered spaces. For a *K*-subset system  $Q_k : \mathbf{Top}_0 \longrightarrow$ **Set** and a  $T_0$  space *X*, *X* is called *k*-well-filtered if for any open set *U* and a filtered family  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq Q_k(X)$ ,  $\bigcap \mathcal{K} \subseteq U$  implies  $K \subseteq U$  for some  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ . The category of all *k*-well-filtered spaces with continuous

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12231007)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Email: mengjiejinjin@163.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Corresponding author, Email: liqingguoli@aliyun.com

mappings is denoted by  $\mathbf{Top}_k$ . It is not difficult to verify that *d*-spaces and well-filtered spaces are two special kinds of *k*-well-filtered spaces. Moreover, we find that *s*-well-filtered spaces (i.e.,  $\mathcal{U}_S$ -admissibility in [6]) is also a kind of *k*-well-filtered spaces which is different from *d*-spaces and well-filtered spaces. Just like directed subsets and Rudin subsets, the concept of *k*-Rudin sets will be introduced. Moreover, for a *K*-subset system  $Q_k : \mathbf{Top}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ , we use the equivalent classes of *k*-Rudin sets to construct the *k*-well-filtered reflections of  $T_0$  spaces. Meanwhile, we introduce the concept of *k*-rank, which is an ordinal that measures how far a  $T_0$  space can become a *k*-well-filtered space. For a  $T_0$  space *X*, we get that there exists an ordinal  $\alpha$  such that the *k*-rank of *X* is equal to  $\alpha$ .

In [3] and [8], for any ordinal  $\alpha$ , there exists a  $T_0$  space whose *d*-rank (respectively, wf-rank) equals to  $\alpha$ . Consider a  $T_0$  space whose *k*-rank equals to  $\alpha$  may be more complex, because we know little about  $Q_k(X)$ . We have to find suitable conditions to characterize a class of  $T_0$  spaces whose *k*-rank equals to  $\alpha$ . It turns out that finding these  $T_0$  spaces is the hard part of our task, but how to prove the results is relatively simple.

Finally, we obtain that for any ordinal  $\alpha$ , there exists a  $T_0$  space whose k-rank equals to  $\alpha$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

First, we briefly recall some standard definitions and notations to be used in this paper, for further details see [1], [4], [5] and [7].

Let P be a poset and  $A \subseteq P$ . We denote  $\uparrow A = \{x \in P \mid x \geq a \text{ for some } a \in A\}$  and  $\downarrow A = \{x \in P \mid x \leq a \text{ for some } a \in A\}$ . For every  $a \in P$ , we denote  $\uparrow \{a\} = \uparrow a = \{x \in P \mid x \geq a\}$  and  $\downarrow \{a\} = \downarrow a = \{x \in P \mid x \leq a\}$ . A is called an *upper set* (resp., a *lower set*) if  $A = \uparrow A$  (resp.,  $A = \downarrow A$ ). A is called *directed* provided that it is nonempty and every finite subset of A has an upper bound in A. The set of all directed sets of P is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}(P)$ . Moreover, the set of all nonempty finite sets in P is denoted by  $P^{<\omega}$ .

A poset P is called a *dcpo* if every directed subset D in P has a supremum. A subset U of P is called Scott open if (1)  $U = \uparrow U$  and (2) for any directed subset D for which  $\lor D$  exists,  $\lor D \in U$  implies  $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . All Scott open subsets of P form a topology, we call it the Scott topology on P and denoted by  $\sigma(P)$ .

For a  $T_0$  space X, let  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  (resp.,  $\Gamma(X)$ ) be the set of all open subsets (resp., closed subsets) of X. For a subset A of X, the closure of A is denoted by cl(A) or  $\overline{A}$ . We use  $\leq_X$  to represent the specialization order of X, that is,  $x \leq_X y$  iff  $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$ . A subset B of X is called *saturated* if B equals the intersection of all open sets containing it (equivalently, B is an upper set in the specialization order). Let  $S(X) = \{\{x\} \mid x \in X\}$ ,  $S_c(X) = \{\downarrow x \mid x \in X\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_c(X) = \{\overline{D} \mid D \in \mathcal{D}(X)\}$ . A  $T_0$  space X is called a *d*-space (i.e., monotone convergence space) if X (with the specialization order) is a *dcpo* and  $\mathcal{O}(X) \subseteq \sigma(X)$  ([4]). The category of all *d*-spaces with continuous mappings is denoted by  $\mathbf{Top}_d$ .

For a  $T_0$  space X, let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a filtered family under the inclusion order in Q(X), which is denoted by  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq_{filt} Q(X)$ , i.e., for any  $K_1, K_2 \in Q(X)$ , there exists  $K_3 \in Q(X)$  such that  $K_3 \subseteq K_1 \cap K_2$ . X is called well-filtered if for any open subset U and any  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq_{filt} Q(X)$ ,  $\bigcap \mathcal{K} \subseteq U$  implies  $K \subseteq U$  for some  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ . The category of all well-filtered spaces with continuous mappings is denoted by  $\mathbf{Top}_w$  ([14]).

In what follows, **K** always refers to a full subcategory  $\mathbf{Top}_0$  that contains **Sob**, the full subcategory of sober spaces. The objects of **K** are called **K**-spaces.

**Definition 2.1** [14] Let X be a  $T_0$  space. A **K**-reflection of X is a pair  $\langle \hat{X}, \mu \rangle$  comprising a **K**-space  $\hat{X}$  and a continuous mapping  $\mu: X \longrightarrow \hat{X}$  satisfying that for any continuous mapping  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  to a **K**-space, there exists a unique continuous mapping  $f^*: \hat{X} \longrightarrow Y$  such that  $f^* \circ \mu = f$ , that is, the following diagram commutes.



By a standard argument, **K**-reflections, if they exist, are unique up to homeomorphism. We shall use  $X^k$  to denote the space of the **K**-reflection of X if it exists.

For  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Top}_w$ , the **K**-reflection of X is called the *well-filterification* or *well-filtered reflection* of X, we denote it by  $H_{wf}(X)$  if the well-filterification of X exists. For  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Top}_d$ , the **K**-reflection of X is called the *d*-completion of X, we denote it by  $H_d(X)$  if the *d*-completion of X exists.

**Definition 2.2** [13] Let  $X = (X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ . A is called strongly compact in X if for each  $U \in \tau$  with  $A \subseteq U$ , there is  $F \in X^{<\omega}$  such that  $A \subseteq \uparrow_{\tau} F \subseteq U$ .

**Proposition 2.3** [6] Every finite set is strongly compact, and every strongly compact set is compact.

**Proposition 2.4** [6] A is strongly compact if and only if  $\uparrow A$  is so.

We use  $Q_s(X)$  to denote the set of all nonempty strongly compact saturated subsets of X. X is called s-well-filtered (i.e.,  $\mathcal{U}_S$ -admissibility in [6]) if it is  $T_0$ , and for any open subset U and  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq_{filt} Q_s(X)$ ,  $\bigcap \mathcal{K} \subseteq U$  implies  $K \subseteq U$  for some  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ . The category of all s-well-filtered spaces with continuous mappings is denoted by  $\mathbf{Top}_{s-w}$ .

#### 3 *k*-well-filtered spaces

In this section, we provide a uniform approach to *d*-spaces and well-filtered spaces and develop a general framework for dealing with all these spaces.

**Definition 3.1**  $Q_k$  : **Top**<sub>0</sub>  $\longrightarrow$  **Set** is called a *C*-subset system if  $S^u(X) \subseteq Q_k(X) \subseteq Q(X)$  for all  $X \in ob(\mathbf{Top}_0)$ , where  $S^u(X) = \{\uparrow x \mid x \in X\}$ .

**Definition 3.2** Let  $Q_k$ : **Top**<sub>0</sub>  $\longrightarrow$  **Set** be a *C*-subset system and *X* a  $T_0$  space. A nonempty subset *A* is said to have *k*-*Rudin property*, if there exists  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq_{filt} Q_k(X)$  such that *A* is a minimal closed set that intersects all members of  $\mathcal{K}$ . We call such a set *k*-*Rudin* or *k*-*Rudin set*. Let  $K^R(X) = \{A \subseteq X \mid A \text{ has } k\text{-Rudin property}\}$  and  $K_c^R(X) = K^R(X) \cap \Gamma(X)$ .

For  $Q_k(X) = Q(X)$ , a k-Rudin set of X is called a Rudin set (i.e., KF set) of X. The set of all Rudin sets of X is denoted by  $\overline{KF}(X)$ .  $\operatorname{RD}(X) = \overline{KF}(X) \cap \Gamma(X)$ .

**Proposition 3.3** Let  $Q_k : \operatorname{Top}_0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Set}$  be a *C*-subset system and *X* a  $T_0$  space. Then  $\mathcal{D}(X) \subseteq \operatorname{K}^R(X) \subseteq \overline{KF}(X)$ .

**Proof.** Clearly,  $K^R(X) \subseteq \overline{KF}(X)$ . Now we prove that every directed subset D of X is a k-Rudin set. Let  $\mathcal{K} = \{\uparrow d \mid d \in D\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq Q_k(X)$  is filtered and  $\overline{D}$  interests all members of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Assume that A is a closed subset in X and interests all members of  $\mathcal{K}$ . This means that  $A \cap \uparrow d \neq \emptyset$  for all  $d \in D$ . Since A is closed, it is a lower set, then  $d \in A$  for all  $d \in D$ . Hence,  $\overline{D} \subseteq A$ . So  $\overline{D}$  is a minimal closed set that intersects all members of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Definition 3.4** A *C*-subset system  $Q_k : \mathbf{Top}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  is called a *K*-subset system provided that for any  $T_0$  spaces X, Y and any continuous mapping  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ ,  $f(A) \in K^R(Y)$  for all  $A \in K^R(X)$ .

**Definition 3.5** Let  $Q_k : \operatorname{Top}_0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Set}$  be a K-subset system and X a  $T_0$  space. X is called k-well-filtered if for any open set U and  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq_{filt} Q_k(X), \bigcap \mathcal{K} \subseteq U$  implies  $K \subseteq U$  for some  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ . The category of all k-well-filtered spaces with continuous mappings is denoted by  $\operatorname{Top}_k$ .

In the following, we give some special k-well-filtered spaces and their relations with  $\mathbf{Top}_d$ ,  $\mathbf{Top}_w$  and  $\mathbf{Top}_{s-w}$ , respectively.

For a C-subset system  $Q_k : \mathbf{Top}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  and a  $T_0$  space X, here are some important examples of  $Q_k(X)$ :

(1)  $Q_k(X) = S^u(X)$  (i.e.,  $Q_k(X) = \{\uparrow x \mid x \in X\}$ ).

(2)  $Q_k(X) = Q_f(X)$  (i.e.,  $Q_k(X) = \{\uparrow F \mid \emptyset \neq F \in X^{<\omega}\}$ ).

(3)  $Q_k(X) = Q_s(X)$  (i.e.,  $Q_k(X) = \{A \mid A \text{ is a nonempty strongly compact saturated subset in } X\}$ ).

(4)  $Q_k(X) = Q(X)$  (i.e.,  $Q_k(X)$  is the set of all nonempty compact saturated subsets in X).

Let  $Q_k : \mathbf{Top}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  be a *C*-subset system. For any  $T_0$  space X, if  $Q_k(X) = S^u(X)$  (i.e.,  $Q_k(X) = Q_f(X)$ ), then it follows directly from Definition 1, Example 1(1) and Theorem 1 in [9] that X is *k*-well-filtered iff X is a *d*-space. If  $Q_k(X) = Q(X)$ , it is trivial that X is *k*-well-filtered iff X is well-filtered. In the case  $Q_k(X) = Q_s(X)$ , *k*-well-filtered spaces are exactly *s*-well-filtered spaces.

From the above, for a K-subset system  $Q_k : \mathbf{Top}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ , it is not difficult to see that well-filtered spaces are k-well-filtered spaces and k-well-filtered spaces are d-spaces. That is

$$\mathbf{Top}_w \subseteq \mathbf{Top}_k \subseteq \mathbf{Top}_d.$$

In particular, well-filtered spaces are s-well-filtered spaces and s-well-filtered spaces are d-spaces. In Example 3.6 and Example 3.7 below, we will show that the converses are not true, respectively.

**Example 3.6** Consider set N of natural numbers. Let  $X = (N, \tau_{cof})$  be the space N equipped with the co-finite topology (the empty set and the complements of finite subsets of N are open sets). Then

(a)  $\Gamma(X) = \{\emptyset, N\} \cup N^{<\omega}, X \text{ is } T_1, \text{ hence it is a } d\text{-space.}$ 

- (b) X is s-well-filtered since a subset in  $T_1$  spaces is strongly compact iff it is finite.
- (c)  $K(X) = 2^N \setminus \emptyset$ .
- (d)  $RD(X) = \{N\} \cup \{\{n\} \mid n \in N\}.$
- (e) X is not well-filtered.

**Example 3.7** (Johnstone space) Recall the dcpo constructed by Johnstone in [5], which is defined as  $\mathbb{J} = N \times (N \cup \{\infty\})$ , with the order defined by  $(j,k) \leq (m,n)$  iff j = m and  $k \leq n$  or  $n = \infty$  and  $k \leq m$ . Let  $X = (\mathbb{J}, \tau_{\sigma})$ . Then

(a)  $\mathbb{J}$  is a dcpo, thus X is a d-space.

(b) 
$$Q(X) = Q_s(X)$$
.

- (c) X is not well-filtered.
- (d) X is not *s*-well-filtered.

Using the equivalent classes of directed subsets, Ershov introduced one way to get *d*-completions of  $T_0$ spaces in [3]. Inspired by his method, Liu, Li and Wu presented one way to get well-filtered reflections of  $T_0$ spaces using the equivalent classes of KF-subsets in [10]. Now for a *K*-subset system  $Q_k : \mathbf{Top}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ , we use the equivalent classes of *k*-Rudin sets to construct the *k*-well-filtered reflections of  $T_0$  spaces. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $T_0$  space. Consider an equivalence relation ~ on  $K^R(X)$  which is defined as follows:

 $A_0 \sim A_1$  if and only if  $A_0 \cap U \neq \emptyset$  is equivalent to  $A_1 \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for any  $U \in \tau$ 

where  $A_0, A_1 \in K^R(X)$ . Note that  $A_0 \sim A_1$  if and only if  $cl_X(A_0) = cl_X(A_1)$ . Let

$$[A] = \{A' \in \mathbf{K}^R(X) \mid A \sim A'\}, A \in \mathbf{K}^R(X),$$

$$K(X) = \{[A] \mid A \in \mathbf{K}^{R}(X)\},$$
$$U^{*} = \{[A] \mid A \cap U \neq \emptyset\}, U \in \tau,$$
$$\tau^{*} = \{U^{*} \mid U \in \tau\}.$$

Then  $\tau^*$  is a topology. Moreover,  $(K(X), \tau^*)$  is a  $T_0$  space. For  $Q_k(X) = S^u(X)$ , we denote K(X) by D(X). For  $Q_k(X) = Q(X)$ , we denote K(X) by KF(X).

**Lemma 3.8** Let  $Q_k : \operatorname{Top}_0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Set}$  be a K-subset system,  $(X, \tau)$  a  $T_0$  space and  $\lambda : X \longrightarrow K(X)$  the map defined by  $\lambda(x) = [\{x\}]$ . Then the map  $\lambda$  is a homeomorphic embedding.

**Lemma 3.9** Let  $Q_k : \mathbf{Top}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  be a K-subset system and  $(X, \tau)$  a  $T_0$  space. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. X is a k-well-filtered space.
- 2.  $K(X) \cong X$  (under the map  $\lambda$ ).

Let  $Q_k$ : **Top**<sub>0</sub>  $\longrightarrow$  **Set** be a K-subset system and  $(X, \tau)$  a  $T_0$  space. Suppose that Y is a well-filtered space that has X as a subspace. Since Y is well-filtered, it is k-well-filtered. By Lemma 3.9, we have  $K(Y) \cong Y$ . In general, we can consider  $K_{\gamma}(X)$  as a subspace of  $K_{\beta}(X)$  in the sense of embedding mappings for all ordinals  $\gamma \leq \beta$ . The transfinite sequence of extensions is constructed as follows:

- $(1) K_0(X) = X,$
- (2)  $K_{\beta+1}(X) = K(K_{\beta}(X)),$
- (3)  $K_{\beta}(X) = \bigcup_{\gamma < \beta} K_{\gamma}(X)$  if  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal.

By [2] and [12], we have the following similar results.

**Theorem 3.10** For a K-subset system  $Q_k$ : **Top**<sub>0</sub>  $\longrightarrow$  **Set** and a  $T_0$  space  $(X, \tau)$ , the k-well-filterification of X exists; i.e., there exists an ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $H_k(X) = K_{\alpha}(X) \cong K_{\alpha+1}(X)$ .

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the method of constructing the d-completion of  $T_0$  spaces in [2] and the method of constructing the well-filterification of  $T_0$  spaces in [12].

**Definition 3.11** Let  $Q_k : \operatorname{Top}_0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Set}$  be a K-subset system and  $(X, \tau)$  a  $T_0$  space. The k-rank of X is the least ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $K_{\alpha}(X) \cong K_{\alpha+1}(X)$ . We denote the k-rank of a space X by  $\operatorname{rank}_k(X)$ .

Similarly, the *d*-rank of X is the least ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $D_{\alpha}(X) \cong D_{\alpha+1}(X)$ , it is denoted by  $\operatorname{rank}_{d}(X)$  in [3]. The *wf*-rank of X is the least ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $KF_{\alpha}(X) \cong KF_{\alpha+1}(X)$ , it is denoted by  $\operatorname{rank}_{wf}(X)$  in [8].

# 4 $\alpha^k$ -special spaces

For a K-subset system  $Q_k : \operatorname{Top}_0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Set}$ , in Theorem 3.10, there exists an ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $\operatorname{rank}_k(X) = \alpha$  for a  $T_0$  space X. Conversely, for any given ordinal  $\alpha$  it is natural to ask whether there exists a  $T_0$  space X such that  $\operatorname{rank}_k(X) = \alpha$ . In this section, we prove that for any given ordinal  $\alpha$ , there exists a  $T_0$  space X such that  $\operatorname{rank}_k(X) = \alpha$ .

**Definition 4.1** Let  $Q_k : \mathbf{Top}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  be a *K*-subset system and  $(X, \tau)$  a  $T_0$  space. For an ordinal  $\alpha$ , *X* is called  $\alpha^k$ -special if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $\operatorname{rank}_k(X) = \alpha;$
- (2)  $\alpha$  is the least ordinal for which  $K_{\alpha}(X)$  has a greatest element.

X is called  $\alpha^d$ -special (resp.,  $\alpha^{wf}$ -special), similarly, see [3] and [8], respectively.

**Remark 4.2** If X is a  $\alpha^k$ -special space, then  $\alpha$  is not a limit ordinal.

**Proof.** In fact, let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $\alpha^k$ -special space. Suppose that  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal. Then  $K_{\alpha}(X) = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} K_{\beta}(X)$ . By Definition 4.1,  $K_{\alpha}(X)$  has a greatest element. Hence, there exists  $\beta < \alpha$  such that  $K_{\beta}(X)$  has a greatest element, which is a contradiction. So  $\alpha$  will not be a limit ordinal.

**Lemma 4.3** For any nonlimit ordinal  $\alpha$ , every  $\alpha^k$ -special space is irreducible.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to Lemma 3.3 in [3].

Recall the following construction in [3]. For topological spaces X and  $Y_x, x \in X$ , let

$$Z = \bigcup_{x \in X} Y_x \times \{x\},$$

 $\tau = \{ U \subseteq Z \mid (U)_x \in \tau(Y_x) \text{ for any } x \in X \text{ and } (U)_X \in \tau(X) \},\$ 

where  $(U)_x = \{y \in Y_x \mid (y, x) \in U\}$  for any  $x \in X$  and  $(U)_X = \{x \in X \mid (U)_x \neq \emptyset\}.$ 

**Lemma 4.4** ([3]) Let X be a  $T_0$  space and  $Y_x$  an irreducible  $T_0$  space for every  $x \in X$ . Then

- (1)  $\tau$  is a  $T_0$  separable topology on Z.
- (2) The map  $y \mapsto (y, x)$  determines a homeomorphic embedding of  $Y_x$  in Z for any  $x \in X$ .
- (3) If the space X is irreducible, then the space Z is also irreducible.

For any subset  $A \subseteq Z$ , put  $\widetilde{X} = \{x \in X \mid Y_x \text{ has the greatest element } \top_x\}$  with the induced topology of X. Define

$$(A)_x = \{ y \in Y_x \mid (y, x) \in A \} \text{ for any } x \in X,$$
$$(A)_X = \{ x \in X \mid (A)_x \neq \emptyset \},$$
$$A_* = \{ x \in \widetilde{X} \mid (\top_x, x) \in A \}.$$

And the space  $(Z, \tau)$  is also denoted by  $\sum_{X} Y_x$ .

**Lemma 4.5** ([3]) Let X be a  $T_0$  space,  $Y_x$  an irreducible  $T_0$  space for any  $x \in X$ , and  $Z = \sum_X Y_x$ . For all  $(y_0, x_0), (y_1, x_1) \in Z$ , we have  $(y_0, x_0) \leq (y_1, x_1)$  if and only if the following two alternatives hold: (1)  $x_0 = x_1$  and  $y_0 \leq_{Y_{x_0}} y_1$ ;

(2)  $x_0 <_X x_1$  and  $y_1 = \top_{x_1}$  is the greatest element in  $Y_{x_1}$ .

**Lemma 4.6** ([3]) Let X be a  $T_0$  space,  $Y_x$  an irreducible  $T_0$  space for any  $x \in X$ , and  $Z = \sum_X Y_x$ . Then an arbitrary set  $S' \in \mathcal{D}(Z)$  contains a cofinal subset  $S \subseteq S'$  having one of the following forms: (i)  $S = \{(y, x) \mid y \in (S)_x\}$  for some fixed  $x \in X$  and  $(S)_x \in \mathcal{D}(Y_x)$ ;

(*ii*)  $S = \{(\top_x, x) \mid x \in S_*\}$  for some  $S_* \in \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{X})$ .

For any irreducible topological space Y, put

$$Y^{\top} = \begin{cases} Y, & \text{if } Y \text{ has a greatest element,} \\ \langle Y \cup \{\top\}, \tau(Y)^{\top} \rangle, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\tau(Y)^{\top} = \{U \cup \{\top\} \mid \emptyset \neq U \in \tau(Y)\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$ . It is easy to see that for any irreducible  $T_0$  space Y,  $Y^{\top}$  is also a  $T_0$  space and has a greatest element. Let

$$X' = \{ [\{x\}] \mid x \in X\} \cup D(\widetilde{X}) \subseteq D(X).$$

Then X' with the induced topology is a subspace of D(X) and the space  $D(Y_x)$  is irreducible for any  $x \in X$  from [3]. Moreover, for any  $x' \in X'$ , we define

$$Y'_{x'} = \begin{cases} D(Y_x), & \text{if } x' = [\{x\}] \text{ for some } x \in X, \\ \top, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\top = \langle \{\top\}, \{\emptyset, \{\top\}\} \rangle$ . Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.7** ([3]) Let X be a  $T_0$  space,  $Y_x$  an irreducible  $T_0$  space for any  $x \in X$ , and  $Z = \sum_X Y_x$ . Then the spaces D(Z) and  $Z' = \sum_{X'} Y'_{X'}$  are homeomorphic.

For any ordinal  $\alpha > 0$ , consider the irreducible  $T_0$  space

 $\mathbb{O}_{\alpha} = \langle \downarrow \alpha \setminus \{\alpha\}, \{\emptyset\} \cup \{\uparrow \beta \mid \beta < \alpha \text{ is not a limit ordinal} \} \rangle.$ 

**Proposition 4.8** ([3]) Let  $\alpha > 0$  be an ordinal.

- (i) If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then  $H_d(\mathbb{O}_{\alpha}) = \mathbb{O}_{\alpha}^{\top} = D(\mathbb{O}_{\alpha})$ , i.e., the space  $\mathbb{O}_{\alpha}$  is 1<sup>d</sup>-special.
- (*ii*) If  $\alpha$  is not a limit ordinal, then  $H_d(\mathbb{O}_\alpha) = \mathbb{O}_\alpha$ , *i.e.*, the *d*-rank of  $\mathbb{O}_\alpha$  is equal to 0.
- (iii) If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal,  $\gamma$  is not a limit ordinal, a  $T_0$  space  $Y_\beta$  is  $\gamma^d$ -special for any  $\beta < \alpha$  and the space  $Z = \sum_{\mathbb{Q}_{\alpha}} Y_\beta$ , then the spaces  $D_{\delta}(Z) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{Q}_{\alpha}} D_{\delta}(Y_\beta)$  for any ordinal  $\delta \leq \gamma$ .
- (iv) If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal,  $\gamma$  is not a limit ordinal and a  $T_0$  space  $Y_\beta$  is  $\gamma^d$ -special for any  $\beta < \alpha$ , then the space  $Z = \sum_{\square =} Y_\beta$  is  $(\gamma + 1)^d$ -special.
- (v) If Y is an  $(\alpha+1)^d$ -special for some ordinal  $\alpha$ , then  $D_{\beta}(Y^{\top}) \cong D_{\beta}(Y)^{\top}$  for any  $\beta \leq \alpha$  and  $D_{\alpha+1}(Y^{\top}) \cong D_{\alpha+1}(Y) = H_d(Y)$ .
- (vi) If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, and a  $T_0$  space  $Y_\beta$  is  $(\beta + 1)^d$ -special for any  $\beta < \alpha$ , then the space  $Z = \sum_{\mathbb{O}_\alpha} Y_\beta$  is  $(\alpha + 1)^d$ -special and the d-rank of a space  $Z^\top$  is equal to  $\alpha$ .

**Lemma 4.9** Let  $\mathbb{N} = (N, \tau_{\sigma})$  denote the set N of natural numbers endowed with the Scott topology. Then  $\mathbb{N}$  is  $1^d$ -special.

**Proof.** This directly follows from (i) of Proposition 4.8.

**Lemma 4.10** Let  $\alpha > 0$  be an ordinal.

- (1) If  $\alpha$  is not a limit ordinal and a  $T_0$  space  $X_n$  is  $\alpha^d$ -special for any  $n \in N$ , then the space  $Z = \sum_{\mathbb{N}} X_n$ is  $(\alpha + 1)^d$ -special.
- (2) If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal and a  $T_0$  space  $X_n$  is  $(\overline{\alpha} + n)^d$ -special for any  $n \in N$ , then the space  $Z = \sum_{\mathbb{N}} X_n$ is  $(\alpha + 1)^d$ -special and the d-rank of the space  $Z^{\top}$  is equal to  $\alpha$ , where  $\overline{\alpha} = 0$  if  $\alpha = \omega$ , otherwise,  $\overline{\alpha}$ denotes the largest limit ordinal less than  $\alpha$ .

#### Proof.

- (1) It follows directly from (iv) of Proposition 4.8.
- (2) First we prove that the spaces  $D_{\delta}(Z)$  and  $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{\delta}$  are homeomorphic for every ordinal  $\delta < \alpha$ , where

$$W_n^{\delta} = \begin{cases} D_{\delta}(X_n), & \text{if } \delta < \overline{\alpha} + n, \\ H_d(X_n), & \text{if } \overline{\alpha} + n \le \delta < \alpha \end{cases}$$

We use induction on  $\delta$ . For  $\delta = 0$ , the statement follows from the definition of space Z.

Let  $\delta$  be an ordinal such that  $\delta + 1 < \alpha$ , and suppose that  $D_{\delta}(Z) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{\delta}$ . Then the space  $D_{\delta}(X_n)$  does not contain a greatest element for the arbitrary  $n \in N$  such that  $\delta < \overline{\alpha} + n$ . Note that  $\widetilde{N} = \{n \in N \mid \overline{\alpha} + n \leq \delta\}$  is a finite subset in N, hence  $\mathbb{N}' = \{[\{n\}] \mid n \in N\} \cup D(\widetilde{N}) \cong \mathbb{N}$ . By

Theorem 4.7, we have

$$D_{\delta+1}(Z) = D(D_{\delta}(Z)) \cong D(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{\delta}) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}} D(W_n^{\delta}) = \sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{\delta+1}.$$

Suppose now that  $\delta < \alpha$  is a limit ordinal and  $D_{\beta}(Z) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{\beta}$  for any ordinal  $\beta < \delta$ . By Theorem 4.7, we get

$$D_{\delta}(Z) = \bigcup_{\beta < \delta} D_{\beta}(Z) \cong \bigcup_{\beta < \delta} \sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{\beta} \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{\beta < \delta} W_n^{\beta} = \sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{\delta}.$$

Thus by induction, we have  $D_{\delta}(Z) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{\delta}$  for any ordinal  $\delta < \alpha$ .

Therefore,

$$D_{\alpha}(Z) = \bigcup_{\delta < \alpha} D_{\delta}(Z) \cong \bigcup_{\delta < \alpha} \sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{\delta} \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{\delta < \alpha} W_n^{\delta} = \sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{\alpha} \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}} H_d(X_n).$$

Moreover, for any  $n \in N$ , the space  $H_d(X_n)$  has a greatest element, which implies that  $\widetilde{N} = N$ . Hence  $\mathbb{N}' \cong \mathbb{N}^{\top}$ . In the view of Lemma 4.5 and Theorem 4.7, we obtain

$$D_{\alpha+1}(Z) = D(D_{\alpha}(Z)) \cong D(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{H}_{d}(X_{n})) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} X'_{n'} \cong (\sum_{\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{H}_{d}(X_{n}))^{\top},$$

where

$$X'_{n'} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{H}_d(X_{n'}), & \text{if } n' \in N, \\ \top, & \text{if } n' = \top, \end{cases}$$

and

$$D_{\alpha+2}(Z) = D(D_{\alpha+1}(Z)) \cong D(\sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} X'_{n'}) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} D(X'_{n'}) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} X'_{n'} \cong D_{\alpha+1}(Z).$$

Again by Lemma 4.5, the space  $D_{\beta}(Z)$  has not a greatest element for any ordinal  $\beta \leq \alpha$ . Therefore, by virtue of Definition 4.1, the space Z is  $(\alpha + 1)^d$ -special.

For  $Z^{\top}$ , first, we claim that the spaces  $D_{\delta}(Z^{\top}) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} W_{n'}^{\delta}$  for the arbitrary ordinal  $\delta \leq \alpha$ , where

$$W_{n'}^{\delta} = \begin{cases} D_{\delta}(X_{n'}), & \text{if } \delta < \overline{\alpha} + n' < \alpha, \\ H_d(X_{n'}), & \text{if } \overline{\alpha} + n' \le \delta \le \alpha, \\ \top, & \text{if } n' = \top, \end{cases}$$

By the part (v) of Proposition 4.8, we get

$$D_{\delta}(Z^{\top}) \cong (D_{\delta}(Z))^{\top} \cong (\sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{\delta})^{\top} \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} W_{n'}^{\delta}$$

for every ordinal  $\delta \leq \alpha$ . This implies that

$$D_{\alpha}(Z^{\top}) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} W_{n'}^{\alpha} \cong (\sum_{\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{H}_{d}(X_{n}))^{\top} \cong D_{\alpha+1}(Z),$$

which is a *d*-space by the above proof. Therefore,  $D_{\alpha}(Z^{\top}) \cong D_{\alpha+1}(Z^{\top})$ .

Next, we claim that  $D_{\delta}(Z^{\top})$  is not a *d*-space for any ordinal  $\delta < \alpha$ . Assume that there exists an ordinal  $\delta < \alpha$  such that  $D_{\delta}(Z^{\top})$  is a *d*-space. Then by Lemma 3.9,  $D_{\delta}(Z^{\top}) \cong D_{\alpha}(Z^{\top})$ . However, from the preceding discussion, we have that the spaces  $D_{\delta}(Z^{\top}) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} W_{n'}^{\delta}$  for the arbitrary ordinal

 $\delta < \alpha$ . Note that there are at most finitely many  $W_{n'}^{\delta}$ 's are *d*-spaces. Furthermore, for  $\delta < \overline{\alpha} + n' < \alpha$ ,  $W_{n'}^{\delta} = D_{\delta}(X_{n'})$  is not a *d*-space and  $W_{n'}^{\alpha} = H_d(X_{n'})$  is a *d*-space, which implies that  $W_{n'}^{\delta}$  and  $W_{n'}^{\alpha}$  are not homeomorphic. Hence,  $D_{\delta}(Z^{\top})$  and  $D_{\alpha}(Z^{\top})$  are not homeomorphic, which is a contradiction. So the *d*-rank of the space  $Z^{\top}$  is equal to  $\alpha$ .

For the wf-rank of a  $T_0$  space, we have the following similar results.

**Lemma 4.11** [11] Let X be a  $T_0$  space,  $Y_x$  an irreducible  $T_0$  space for any  $x \in X$ , and  $Z = \sum_X Y_x$ . Then an arbitrary set  $A' \in \overline{KF}(Z)$  contains a subset  $A \subseteq A'$  such that  $A \sim A'$  having one of the following forms: (1) there exists an element  $x \in X$  such that  $A \subseteq Y_x \times \{x\}$  and  $(A)_x \in \overline{KF}(Y_x)$ ;

(2)  $A = \{(\top_x, x) \mid x \in A_*\}$  for some  $A_* \in \overline{KF}(\widetilde{X})$ , where  $\widetilde{X} = \{x \in X \mid Y_x \text{ has a greatest element}\}.$ 

**Theorem 4.12** Let X be a  $T_0$  space,  $Y_x$  an irreducible  $T_0$  space for any  $x \in X$ , and  $Z = \sum_X Y_x$ . Then the spaces KF(Z) and  $Z' = \sum_{X'} Y'_{X'}$  are homeomorphic, where

$$X' = \{ [\{x\}] \mid x \in X \} \cup KF(\widetilde{X}) \subseteq KF(X),$$

and for any  $x' \in X'$ 

$$Y'_{x'} = \begin{cases} KF(Y_x), & \text{if } x' = [\{x\}] \text{ for some } x \in X, \\ \top, & \text{otherwise, where } \top = \langle \{\top\}, \{\emptyset, \{\top\}\} \rangle. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.13  $(N, \tau_{\sigma})$  is  $1^{wf}$ -special.

**Lemma 4.14** Let  $\alpha > 0$  be an ordinal.

- (1) If  $\alpha$  is not a limit ordinal and a  $T_0$  space  $X_n$  is  $\alpha^{wf}$ -special for any  $n \in N$ , then the space  $Z = \sum_{\mathbb{N}} X_n$ is  $(\alpha + 1)^{wf}$ -special.
- (2) If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal and a  $T_0$  space  $X_n$  is  $(\overline{\alpha}+n)^{wf}$ -special for any  $n \in N$ , then the space  $Z = \sum_{\mathbb{N}} X_n$ is  $(\alpha+1)^{wf}$ -special and the wf-rank of the space  $Z^{\top}$  is equal to  $\alpha$ , where  $\overline{\alpha} = 0$  if  $\alpha = \omega$ , otherwise,

is  $(\alpha + 1)^{\omega_J}$ -special and the  $\omega_f$ -rank of the space  $Z^+$  is equal to  $\alpha$ , where  $\overline{\alpha} = 0$  if  $\alpha = \omega$ , otherwise,  $\overline{\alpha}$  denotes the largest limit ordinal less than  $\alpha$ .

Next, let  $Q_k$  be a K-subset system and X a  $T_0$  space. We denote

 $\overline{D}(X) = \{A \subseteq X \mid \text{ there exists a directed subset } D \text{ in } X \text{ such that } \overline{A} = \overline{D}\}.$ 

For the k-rank of X, we deduce the following results.

**Lemma 4.15** For  $\mathbb{N} = (N, \tau_{\sigma})$ , the following statements hold:

- (1)  $\mathbb{N}$  is 1<sup>d</sup>-special and 1<sup>wf</sup>-special.
- (2)  $\overline{D}(\mathbb{N}) = \overline{KF}(\mathbb{N})$  and  $D(\mathbb{N}) = K(\mathbb{N}) = KF(\mathbb{N})$ .
- (3)  $\mathbb{N}$  is  $1^k$ -special.

**Lemma 4.16** If  $\alpha$  is not a limit ordinal and a  $T_0$  space  $X_n$  satisfies the following conditions.

(1)  $X_n$  is  $\alpha^d$ -special and  $\alpha^{wf}$ -special, (2)  $\overline{D}(K_m(X_n)) = \overline{KF}(K_m(X_n))$  and  $D_{m+1}(X_n) = K_{m+1}(X_n) = KF_{m+1}(X_n)$  for  $0 \le m < \alpha$ , for any  $n \in N$ , then the space  $Z = \sum_{\mathbb{N}} X_n$  satisfies:

(1) Z is  $(\alpha + 1)^d$ -special and  $(\alpha + 1)^{wf}$ -special.

(2)  $\overline{D}(K_m(Z)) = \overline{KF}(K_m(Z))$  and  $D_{m+1}(Z) = K_{m+1}(Z) = KF_{m+1}(Z)$  for  $0 \le m < \alpha + 1$ .

(3) Z is  $(\alpha + 1)^k$ -special.

**Proof.** For (1), this directly follows from Lemma 4.10 (1) and Lemma 4.14 (1).

For (2), the proof is by induction on m.

Basic steps. For m = 0, obviously,  $\overline{D}(Z) \subseteq \overline{KF}(Z)$ . Conversely, let  $A \in \overline{KF}(Z)$ . From Lemma 4.11, there exists a subset  $A' \subseteq A$  that  $A' \sim A$  and A' satisfies Type (i) in Lemma 4.11. This means that there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $A' \subseteq X_n \times \{n\}$  and  $(A')_n \in \overline{KF}(X_n) = \overline{D}(X_n)$ . By the definition of  $\overline{D}(X_n)$ , there is a directed subset D in  $X_n$  such that  $\operatorname{cl}_{X_n}((A')_n) = \operatorname{cl}_{X_n}(D)$ . We claim that  $\operatorname{cl}_Z(A') = \operatorname{cl}_Z(D \times \{n\})$ . For  $(y,x) \in cl_Z(A')$ , let U be an open neighbourhood of (y,x). Then we have that  $U \cap A' \neq \emptyset$ . This implies that  $(U)_n \cap (A')_n \neq \emptyset$ . Since U is open in Z, we have  $(U)_n \in \tau(X_n)$ . By  $\operatorname{cl}_{X_n}((A')_n) = \operatorname{cl}_{X_n}(D)$ , we have  $(U)_n \cap D \neq \emptyset$ , that is  $U \cap (D \times \{n\}) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $(y, x) \in \operatorname{cl}_Z(D \times \{n\})$ . The opposite direction is similar to prove. So  $A \in \overline{D}(Z)$ . That is  $\overline{D}(Z) = \overline{KF}(Z)$ , which implies that  $\overline{D}(Z) = \overline{K}(Z) = \overline{KF}(Z)$ . Therefore, D(Z) = K(Z) = KF(Z).

Inductive steps. There are two cases to consider:

Case 1. Let m be an ordinal such that  $m+1 < \alpha + 1$ . Assume that  $\overline{D}(K_m(Z)) = \overline{KF}(K_m(Z))$  and  $D_{m+1}(Z) = K_{m+1}(Z) = KF_{m+1}(Z)$ , by Lemma 4.8 (iii), we have

$$D_{m+1}(Z) = K_{m+1}(Z) = KF_{m+1}(Z) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}} D_{m+1}(X_n).$$

To prove  $\overline{D}(K_{m+1}(Z)) = \overline{KF}(K_{m+1}(Z))$ , it is enough to show  $\overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} D_{m+1}(X_n)) = \overline{KF}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} D_{m+1}(X_n))$ . Clearly,  $\overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} D_{m+1}(X_n)) \subseteq \overline{KF}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} D_{m+1}(X_n))$ . Conversely, for any  $A \in \overline{KF}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} D_{m+1}(X_n))$ , by Lemma 4.11, there exists a subset  $A' \subseteq A$  such that  $A' \sim A$ . Two options are possible:

Case 1.1. A' is Type (i) in Lemma 4.11. This implies that there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $A' \subseteq N$  $D_{m+1}(X_n) \times \{n\}$  and  $(A')_n \in \overline{KF}(D_{m+1}(X_n))$ . By the condition (2) of  $X_n$ , we get

$$D_{m+1}(X_n) = K_{m+1}(X_n) = KF_{m+1}(X_n) \text{ and } \overline{KF}(K_{m+1}(X_n)) = \overline{D}(K_{m+1}(X_n)).$$
  
Hence,  $(A')_n \in \overline{KF}(D_{m+1}(X_n)) = \overline{D}(D_{m+1}(X_n)).$  So  $A' \in \overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} (D_{m+1}(X_n))).$  This implies that  $A \in \overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} (D_{m+1}(X_n))).$ 

Case 1.2. A' is Type (ii) in Lemma 4.11. This means that there exists  $A_* \in \overline{KF}(\mathbb{N})$  such that  $A' = \{(\top_n, n) \mid n \in A_*\}$ . Note that  $m+1 = \alpha$ , then  $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} D_{m+1}(X_n) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}} H_d(X_n) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}} H_{wf}(X_n)$ . So  $\widetilde{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $\overline{KF}(\widetilde{\mathbb{N}}) = \overline{D}(\widetilde{\mathbb{N}})$ , which implies that  $A' \in \overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}}^{\mathbb{N}} D_{m+1}(X_n))$ . Therefore,  $A \in \overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}}^{\mathbb{N}} D_{m+1}(X_n))$ . In any case, we have  $\overline{KF}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}}^{\mathbb{N}} D_{m+1}(X_n)) \subseteq \overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}}^{\mathbb{N}} D_{m+1}(X_n))$ . So  $\overline{D}(K_{m+1}(Z)) = \overline{KF}(K_{m+1}(Z))$ . This implies that D = (Z) = K = (Z).

implies that  $D_{m+2}(Z) = K_{m+2}(Z) = KF_{m+2}(Z)$ .

Case 2. Suppose that  $m < \alpha + 1$  is a limit ordinal and the required statement holds for any  $\delta < m$ . Then

$$K_m(Z) = \bigcup_{\delta < m} K_{\delta}(Z) \cong \bigcup_{\delta < m} D_{\delta}(Z) \cong \bigcup_{\delta < m} \sum_{\mathbb{N}} D_{\delta}(X_n) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{\delta < m} D_{\delta}(X_n) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}} D_m(X_n).$$

Now it is enough to show that  $\overline{KF}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} D_m(X_n)) = \overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} D_m(X_n))$ . Repeat the proof method of Case 1, we get that  $\overline{D}(K_m(Z)) = \overline{KF}(K_m(Z))$ . So  $\overline{D}(K_m(Z)) = \overline{K}(K_m(Z)) = \overline{KF}(K_m(Z))$ . Hence,  $D_{m+1}(Z) = K_{m+1}(Z) = KF_{m+1}(Z)$ . For (3), by (2), let  $m = \alpha$ . We get

$$D_{\alpha+1}(Z) = K_{\alpha+1}(Z) = KF_{\alpha+1}(Z).$$

Since Z is  $(\alpha + 1)^d$ -special and  $(\alpha + 1)^{wf}$ -special, for any ordinal  $\delta < \alpha + 1$ ,  $K_{\delta}(Z)$  is not a d-space and  $K_{\alpha+1}(Z)$  is well-filtered. Therefore,  $K_{\delta}(Z)$  is not k-well-filtered and  $K_{\alpha+1}(Z)$  is k-well-filtered. Then Z is  $(\alpha + 1)^k$ -special.

**Lemma 4.17** If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal and a  $T_0$  space  $X_n$  satisfies the following conditions.

(1)  $X_n$  is  $(\overline{\alpha} + n)^d$ -special and  $(\overline{\alpha} + n)^{wf}$ -special,

(2)  $\overline{D}(K_m(X_n)) = \overline{KF}(K_m(X_n))$  and  $D_{m+1}(X_n) = K_{m+1}(X_n) = KF_{m+1}(X_n)$  for  $0 \le m < \overline{\alpha} + n$ , for any  $n \in N$ , then for the space  $Z = \sum_{M} X_n$ , we have the following conclusions.

- (1) Z is  $(\alpha + 1)^d$ -special and  $(\alpha + 1)^{wf}$ -special.
- (2)  $\overline{D}(K_m(Z)) = \overline{KF}(K_m(Z))$  and  $D_{m+1}(Z) = K_{m+1}(Z) = KF_{m+1}(Z)$  for  $0 \le m < \alpha + 1$ .
- (3) Z is  $(\alpha + 1)^k$ -special.

Moreover, for the space  $Z^{\top}$ , the following results hold.

- (1)  $rank_d(Z^{\top}) = rank_{wf}(Z^{\top}) = \alpha$ ,
- (2)  $\overline{D}(K_m(Z^{\top})) = \overline{KF}(K_m(Z^{\top}))$  and  $D_{m+1}(Z^{\top}) = K_{m+1}(Z^{\top}) = KF_{m+1}(Z^{\top})$  for  $0 \le m < \alpha$ , (3)  $rank_k(Z^{\top}) = \alpha$ .

**Proof.** First, we consider the space  $Z = \sum_{\mathbb{N}} X_n$ .

For (1), it follows directly from Lemma 4.10 (2) and Lemma 4.14 (2).

For (2), we proceed by induction. For m = 0, the statement follows from the proof of Lemma 4.16. Let m be an ordinal such that  $m + 1 < \alpha + 1$ . Assume that  $\overline{D}(K_m(Z)) = \overline{KF}(K_m(Z))$  and  $D_{m+1}(Z) = K_{m+1}(Z) = KF_{m+1}(Z)$ , by the proof of Lemma 4.10 (2), we derive

$$D_{m+1}(Z) = K_{m+1}(Z) = KF_{m+1}(Z) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{m+1}$$

where

$$W_n^{m+1} = \begin{cases} D_{m+1}(X_n), & \text{if } m+1 < \overline{\alpha} + n, \\ H_d(X_n), & \text{if } \overline{\alpha} + n \le m+1 < \alpha + 1. \end{cases}$$

To prove  $\overline{D}(K_{m+1}(Z)) = \overline{KF}(K_{m+1}(Z))$ , it suffices to show that  $\overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{m+1}) = \overline{KF}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{m+1})$ . Clearly,  $\overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{m+1}) \subseteq \overline{KF}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{m+1})$ . For any  $A \in \overline{KF}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{m+1})$ , by Lemma 4.11, there exists a subset  $A' \subseteq A$  such that  $A' \sim A$ . There are two cases to consider:

Case 1. A' is Type (i) in Lemma 4.11. This implies that there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $A' \subseteq W_n^{m+1} \times \{n\}$ and  $(A')_n \in \overline{KF}(W_n^{m+1})$ . Again there are two cases to consider:

Case 1.1. If  $m + 1 < \overline{\alpha} + n$ , then  $W_n^{m+1} = D_{m+1}(X_n)$ . By the condition (2) of  $X_n$ , we have

$$D_{m+1}(X_n) = K_{m+1}(X_n) = KF_{m+1}(X_n) \text{ and } \overline{KF}(K_{m+1}(X_n)) = \overline{D}(K_{m+1}(X_n))$$

Hence,  $(A')_n \in \overline{KF}(D_{m+1}(X_n)) = \overline{D}(D_{m+1}(X_n))$ . So  $A' \in \overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{m+1})$ . This implies that  $A \in \overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{m+1})$ .

Case 1.2. If  $\overline{\alpha} + n \leq m+1 < \alpha+1$ , then  $W_n^{m+1} = \mathcal{H}_{wf}(X_n) = \mathcal{H}_d(X_n)$ . Hence,  $\overline{KF}(W_n^{m+1}) = \overline{D}(W_n^{m+1})$ . It is straightforward to check that  $A \in \overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{m+1})$ .

Case 2. A' is Type (ii) in Lemma 4.11. This means that there exists  $A_* \in \overline{KF}(\widetilde{\mathbb{N}})$  such that  $A' = \{(\top_n, n) \mid n \in A_*\}$ . Note that there are at most finitely many  $W_n^{m+1}$ 's which have a greatest element; thus  $\overline{KF}(\widetilde{\mathbb{N}}) = \overline{D}(\widetilde{\mathbb{N}})$ . This implies that  $A' \in \overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{m+1})$ . Therefore,  $A \in \overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{m+1})$ .

In any case, we have that  $\overline{KF}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{m+1}) \subseteq \overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{m+1})$ . So  $\overline{D}(K_{m+1}(Z)) = \overline{KF}(K_{m+1}(Z))$ . This implies that  $D_{m+2}(Z) = K_{m+2}(Z) = KF_{m+2}(Z)$ .

Suppose that  $m < \alpha + 1$  is a limit ordinal and that the required statement holds for any  $\delta < m$ . Then

$$K_m(Z) = \bigcup_{\delta < m} K_{\delta}(Z) \cong \bigcup_{\delta < m} D_{\delta}(Z) \cong \bigcup_{\delta < m} \sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^{\delta} \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{\delta < m} W_n^{\delta} \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^m$$

where

$$W_n^{\delta} = \begin{cases} D_{\delta}(X_n), & \text{if } \delta < \overline{\alpha} + n, \\ H_d(X_n), & \text{if } \overline{\alpha} + n \le \delta < \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Now it is enough to show that  $\overline{KF}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^m) = \overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}} W_n^m)$ . Repeat the above proof method, we get that  $\overline{D}(K_m(Z)) = \overline{KF}(K_m(Z))$ . Hence,

$$\overline{D}(K_m(Z)) = \overline{K}(K_m(Z)) = \overline{KF}(K_m(Z))$$
 and  $D_{m+1}(Z) = K_{m+1}(Z) = KF_{m+1}(Z)$ .

For (3), let  $m = \alpha$ . By (2), we deduce

$$D_{\alpha+1}(Z) = K_{\alpha+1}(Z) = KF_{\alpha+1}(Z).$$

Since Z is  $(\alpha + 1)^d$ -special and  $(\alpha + 1)^{wf}$ -special, we have that for any ordinal  $\delta < \alpha + 1$ ,  $K_{\delta}(Z)$  is not a *d*-space and  $K_{\alpha+1}(Z)$  is well-filtered. Thus  $K_{\delta}(Z)$  is not *k*-well-filtered and  $K_{\alpha+1}(Z)$  is *k*-well-filtered. Then Z is  $(\alpha + 1)^k$ -special.

Next, we analyze the space  $Z^{\top}$ . For  $Z^{\top}$ , the statement (1) also follows from Lemma 4.10 (2) and Lemma 4.14 (2).

For (2), The proof is by induction on m.

Basic steps. For m = 0, clearly,  $\overline{D}(Z^{\top}) \subseteq \overline{KF}(Z^{\top})$ . Conversely, let  $A \in \overline{KF}(Z^{\top})$ . From Lemma 4.11, we have that  $A \in \overline{KF}(Z)$  or  $A \sim \{\top\}$ . It is straightforward to check that  $A \in \overline{D}(Z^{\top})$ . Therefore,

$$\overline{D}(Z^{\top}) = \overline{KF}(Z^{\top})$$
 and  $D(Z) = K(Z) = KF(Z)$ .

Inductive steps. There are two cases to consider:

Case 1. Let m be an ordinal such that  $m + 1 < \alpha$ . Assume that

$$\overline{D}(K_m(Z^{\top})) = \overline{KF}(K_m(Z^{\top})) \text{ and } D_{m+1}(Z^{\top}) = K_{m+1}(Z^{\top}) = KF_{m+1}(Z^{\top}).$$

By the proof of Lemma 4.10 (2), we have that  $D_{m+1}(Z^{\top}) = K_{m+1}(Z^{\top}) \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} W_{n'}^{m+1}$ , where

$$W_{n'}^{m+1} = \begin{cases} D_{m+1}(X_{n'}), & \text{if } m+1 < \overline{\alpha} + n' < \alpha, \\ H_d(X_{n'}), & \text{if } \overline{\alpha} + n' \le m+1 < \alpha, \\ \top, & \text{if } n' = \top. \end{cases}$$

To prove  $\overline{D}(K_{m+1}(Z^{\top})) = \overline{KF}(K_{m+1}(Z^{\top}))$ , it is sufficient to show  $\overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} W_{n'}^{m+1}) = \overline{KF}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} W_{n'}^{m+1})$ . Clearly,  $\overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} W_{n'}^{m+1}) \subseteq \overline{KF}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} W_{n'}^{m+1})$ . For any  $A \in \overline{KF}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} W_{n'}^{m+1})$ , again by Lemma 4.11, we have that  $A \in \overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} W_{n'}^{m+1})$ . Therefore,  $\overline{KF}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} W_{n'}^{m+1}) \subseteq \overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} W_{n'}^{m+1})$ . So  $\overline{D}(K_{m+1}(Z^{\top})) = \overline{KF}(K_{m+1}(Z^{\top})).$ 

This implies

$$D_{m+2}(Z^{\top}) = K_{m+2}(Z^{\top}) = KF_{m+2}(Z^{\top}).$$

Case 2. Suppose that  $m < \alpha$  is a limit ordinal and that the required statement holds for any  $\delta < m$ . Then  $K_m(Z^{\top}) = \left| \begin{array}{c} K_{\delta}(Z^{\top}) \cong \end{array} \right| D_{\delta}(Z^{\top}) \cong \left| \begin{array}{c} \sum W_{n'}^{\delta} \cong \sum W_{n'}^{\delta} \cong \sum W_{n'}^{m}.$ 

$$K_m(Z^{\perp}) = \bigcup_{\delta < m} K_{\delta}(Z^{\perp}) \cong \bigcup_{\delta < m} D_{\delta}(Z^{\perp}) \cong \bigcup_{\delta < m} \sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\perp}} W_{n'}^{\delta} \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\perp}} \bigcup_{\delta < m} W_{n'}^{\delta} \cong \sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\perp}} W_{n'}^{m}.$$

Now it is enough to show  $\overline{KF}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} W_{n'}^m) = \overline{D}(\sum_{\mathbb{N}^{\top}} W_{n'}^m)$ . Repeat the proof method of Case 1, we get that  $\overline{D}(K_m(Z^{\top})) = \overline{KF}(K_m(Z^{\top}))$ . So

$$\overline{D}(K_m(Z^{\top})) = \overline{K}(K_m(Z^{\top})) = \overline{KF}(K_m(Z^{\top})).$$

Hence

$$D_{m+1}(Z^{\top}) = K_{m+1}(Z^{\top}) = KF_{m+1}(Z^{\top}).$$

For (3), by (2), we get that

$$K_{\alpha}(Z^{\top}) = \bigcup_{\delta < \alpha} K_{\delta}(Z^{\top}) \cong \bigcup_{\delta < \alpha} KF_{\delta}(Z^{\top}) \cong KF_{\alpha}(Z^{\top}).$$

So  $K_{\alpha}(Z^{\top})$  is well-filtered by (1) and hence  $K_{\alpha}(Z^{\top})$  is k-well-filtered. Again by (1), we have that  $K_{\delta}(Z^{\top})$  is not k-well-filtered for any ordinal  $\delta < \alpha$ . Therefore,  $rank_k(Z^{\top}) = \alpha$ .

**Theorem 4.18** For any ordinal  $\alpha$ , there exists a  $T_0$  space X and the following statements hold.

- (1) X is  $(\alpha + 1)^d$ -special and  $(\alpha + 1)^{wf}$ -special.
- (2)  $\overline{D}(K_m(X)) = \overline{KF}(K_m(X))$  and  $D_{m+1}(X) = K_{m+1}(X) = KF_{m+1}(X)$  for  $0 \le m < \alpha + 1$ .
- (3) X is  $(\alpha + 1)^k$ -special.

**Proof.** As usual, we use induction on  $\alpha$ . Base cases. If  $\alpha = 0$ , by Lemma 4.15,  $(N, \tau_{\sigma})$  satisfies the required statements.

Inductive steps. There are two cases to consider:

Case (1). Suppose that the statements of the theorem hold for any ordinal  $\alpha$ . From Lemma 4.16, we have that the statements of the theorem hold for the ordinal  $\alpha + 1$ .

Case (2). Let  $\alpha$  be a limit ordinal. Assume for any ordinal  $\beta < \alpha$ , the statements of the theorem hold. Note that for every  $n \in N$ ,  $\overline{\alpha} + n < \alpha$ . So the statements of the theorem hold for  $\overline{\alpha} + n$ . By Lemma 4.17, it is straightforward to check that the statements of the theorem hold for  $\alpha$ . **Corollary 4.19** For any non-limit ordinal  $\alpha$ , there exists a  $T_0$  space X satisfying the following conditions. (1) X is  $\alpha^d$ -special and  $\alpha^{wf}$ -special.

- (2)  $\overline{D}(K_m(X)) = \overline{KF}(K_m(X))$  and  $D_{m+1}(X) = K_{m+1}(X) = KF_{m+1}(X)$  for  $0 \le m < \alpha$ .
- (3) X is  $\alpha^k$ -special.

**Proof.** For any non-limit ordinal  $\alpha$ , there exists an ordinal  $\beta$  such that  $\alpha = \beta + 1$ . By Theorem 4.18, for ordinal  $\beta$ , there exists a  $T_0$  space X which satisfies the the required statements.

**Theorem 4.20** For any ordinal  $\alpha$ , there exists an irreducible  $T_0$  space X such that the following statements hold.

- (1)  $rank_d(X) = rank_{wf}(X) = \alpha$ .
- (2)  $\overline{D}(K_m(X)) = \overline{KF}(K_m(X))$  and  $D_{m+1}(X) = K_{m+1}(X) = KF_{m+1}(X)$  for  $0 \le m < \alpha$ .
- (3)  $rank_k(X) = \alpha$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal. Now we consider two cases:

Case 1. If  $\alpha$  is not a limit ordinal, the statements of the theorem follow directly from Corollary 4.19. Case 2. If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then  $\overline{\alpha} + n$  is a non-limit ordinal for any  $n \in N$ . Hence, the statements of the theorem follow from Lemma 4.17 and Corollary 4.19.

**Corollary 4.21** For any ordinal  $\alpha$ , there exists an irreducible  $T_0$  space X whose k-rank is equal to  $\alpha$ .

**Proof.** For any ordinal  $\alpha$ , it is enough to show that the *k*-rank of the space X required in Theorem 4.20 is  $\alpha$ .

We can directly obtain the following corollaries.

**Corollary 4.22** (see also in [3]) For any ordinal  $\alpha$ , there exists an irreducible  $T_0$  space X whose d-rank is equal to  $\alpha$ .

**Corollary 4.23** (see also in [8]) For any ordinal  $\alpha$ , there exists an irreducible  $T_0$  space X whose wf-rank is equal to  $\alpha$ .

# References

- B.A. Davey, "Introduction to Lattices and Order", 2nd Ed, Cambridge University Press, 2003. https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511809088
- [2] Y. Ershov, On d-spaces, Theoretical Computer Science, 224 (1999) 59-72. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3975(98)00307-7
- [3] Y. Ershov, The d-rank of a topological space, Algebra and Logic, 56 (2017) 98-107. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10469-017-9432-y
- [4] G. Gierz, K. H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J. D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D. S. Scott, "Continuous Lattices and Domains", Volume 93 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, 2003. https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511542725
- [5] J. Goubault-Larrecq, "Non-Hausdorff Topology and Domain Theory", volume 22 of New Mathematical Monographs, Cambridge University Press, 2013. https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781139524438
- [6] R. Heckmann, An upper power domain construction in terms of strongly compact sets, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 598 (1992) 272-293. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-55511-0\_14
- [7] R. Heckmann, K. Keimel, Quasicontinuous domains and the Smyth powerdomain, Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science, 298 (2013) 215-232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.entcs.2013.09.015

- [8] Q. Li, B. Liu, W. Ho, The wf-rank of topological spaces, Filomat, 36 (2022) 1507-1525. https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2205507L
- Q. Li, Z. Yuan, D. Zhao, A unified approach to some non-Hausdorff topological properties, Mathematical Structures in Computer Science, 30 (2020) 997-1010. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000025
- [10] B. Liu, Q. Li, G. Wu, Well-filterifications of topological spaces, Topology and its Applications, 279 (2020) 107245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2020.107245
- [11] B. Liu, Q. Li, Property of Ershov's construction (preprint).
- [12] C. Shen, X. Xi, X. Xu, D. Zhao, On well-filtered reflections of T<sub>0</sub> spaces, Topology and its Applications, 267 (2019) 106869. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2019.106869
- [13] X. Xu, A note on duals of topologies, Topology and its Applications, 199 (2016) 95-101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2015.12.006
- [14] X. Xu, A direct approach to K-reflections of  $T_0$  spaces, Topology and its Applications, **272** (2020) 107076. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2020.107076
- [15] X. Xu, D. Zhao, On Topological Rudin's Lemma, well-filtered Spaces and sober Spaces, Topology and its Applications, 272 (2020) 107080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2020.107080
- [16] X. Xu, On H-sober spaces and H-sobrifications of  $T_0$  spaces, Topology and its Applications, **289** (2021) 107548. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2020.107548
- [17] Z. Zhang, Q. Li, A direct characterization of monotone convergence space completion, Topology and its Applications, 230 (2017) 99-104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2017.08.008